1	David E. Bower (SBN 119546)  MONTEVERDE & ASSOCIATES PC		
2	600 Corporate Pointe, Suite 1170		
3	Culver City, CA 90230 Tel: (213) 446-6652		
4	Fax: (212) 202-7880		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
6	[Additional Counsel on Signature Page]		
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA		
10			
11			
12	IN RE: HANSEN MEDICAL, INC. SHAREHOLDER LITIGATION	) [Consolidated with Case Nos.	
13		) 16-CV-294554, 16-CV-294858 and 16-CV- ) 294862]	
14	This Document Relates To:	) <u>CLASS ACTION</u>	
15	ALL ACTIONS	) STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT	
16		OF SETTLEMENT, COMPROMISE, AND RELEASE	
17		) - -	
18	IN RE HANSEN MEDICAL, INC	) ) ) Delaware Chancery Court C.A. No. 12316-	
19	STOCKHOLDERS LITIGATION	) VCMR	
20 21			
21		) )	
23		) )	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	d -		

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT, COMPROMISE, AND RELEASE

This Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement, Compromise, and Release, dated February 5, 2019 (the "Stipulation"), is entered into by and among the following parties in the abovecaptioned consolidated class actions (the "Actions"): (i) plaintiffs David Simonson, Joseph Liu, Howard Huggins, Melvin Lax, Windward Venture Partners, LP, John Muir and Dawn Stevens-Juhl (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), on behalf of themselves and the Class (defined below); (ii) defendants Michael Eagle, Cary G. Vance, Christopher P. Lowe, Marjorie L. Bowen, Kevin Hykes, William R. Rohn, Stephen L. Newman, M.D., and Nadim Yared (collectively, the "Director Defendants"); (iii) defendants Jack Schuler, the Jack W. Schuler Living Trust, Renate Schuler, the Schuler Family Foundation, the Tino Hans Schuler Trust, the Tanya Eva Schuler Trust, the Therese Heidi Schuler Trust (collectively, the "Schuler Defendants"), Oracle Partners, L.P., Oracle Ten Fund Master, LP; Oracle Institutional Partners, L.P., The Feinberg Family Foundation, Oracle Investment Management, Inc. Employees' Retirement Plan, the Feinberg Family Trust, Larry N. Feinberg (collectively, the "Feinberg Defendants"), and Westwood SPV, LLC ("Westwood," and together with the Schuler Defendants and Feinberg Defendants, the "Stockholder Defendants," and collectively with the Director Defendants, the "**Defendants**"); and (iv) former (now-dismissed) defendants Auris Surgical Robotics, Inc. (now known as Auris Health, Inc.) and its subsidiaries Pineco Acquisition Corp. ("Pineco") and Hansen Medical, Inc. ("Hansen" or the "Company") (collectively, "Auris"). Defendants, Auris, and Plaintiffs may be collectively referred to herein as the "Settling Parties." This Stipulation is submitted pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769.

Subject to the terms and conditions set forth herein and the approval of the Superior Court of California, the Settlement (as defined below) embodied in this Stipulation is intended: (i) to be a full and final disposition of the Actions; (ii) to state all of the terms of the Settlement and the resolution of the Actions; (iii) to fully and finally compromise, resolve, dismiss, discharge and settle each and every one of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims (as defined below) against each and every one of the Released Defendant Parties (as defined below); and (iv) to fully and finally compromise, resolve, dismiss, discharge and settle each and every one of the Released Defendants'

Claims (as defined below) against each and every one of the Released Plaintiff Parties (as defined below).

#### WHEREAS:

- A. Between April 25, 2016 and June 21, 2016, seven related actions were filed in the Santa Clara County Superior Court of the State of California (the "California Court") and in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware (the "Delaware Court"), by stockholders of Hansen alleging, among other things, that the Director Defendants and Stockholder Defendants had breached fiduciary duties to the Company's minority stockholders in connection with the acquisition of Hansen by Auris Surgical Robotics, Inc. and its subsidiary Pineco (the "Merger"), that Auris had aided and abetted those alleged breaches of fiduciary duty, and that, as a consequence thereof, the Company's minority stockholders suffered damages.
- B. The related actions filed in the California Court, and their filing dates, are as follows: (i) *Liu v. Hansen Medical, Inc., et al.*, No. 16CV294288, filed on April 25, 2016; (ii) *Stevens-Juhl v. Hansen Medical, Inc., et al.*, No. 16CV294354, filed on April 26, 2016; (iii) *Huggins v. Hansen Medical, Inc., et al.*, No. 16 CV294552, filed on May 2, 2016; (iv) *Lax v. Hansen Medical, Inc., et al.*, No. 16CV294858, filed on May 6, 2016; and (v) *Simonson v. Hansen Medical, Inc., et al.*, No. 16CV294862, filed on May 6, 2016 (collectively, the "**Related California Actions**"). The Plaintiffs who filed the Related California Actions are referred to herein as the "**California Plaintiffs**."
- C. The related actions filed in the Delaware Court, and their filing dates, are as follows: (i) *Windward Venture Partners, LP v. Hansen Medical, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 12316, filed on May 10, 2016; and (ii) *Muir v. Hansen Medical, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 12490, filed on June 21, 2016 (collectively, the "**Related Delaware Actions**"). The Plaintiffs who filed the Related Delaware Actions are referred to herein as the "**Delaware Plaintiffs**."
- D. On May 16, 2016, the California Court entered an Order granting the request of Plaintiff Stevens-Juhl to dismiss her Related California Action without prejudice, and on June 21, 2016, the California Court entered an Order consolidating the remaining Related California Actions under the caption *In re Hansen Medical, Inc. Shareholder Litigation*, Lead Case No. 16CV294288

(the "Consolidated California Action"), and appointing Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP, Brodsky & Smith LLC and Milberg LLP as co-lead counsel for the California Plaintiffs in the Consolidated California Action (collectively, the "California Co-Lead Counsel").

- E. On July 11, 2016, the Delaware Court entered an Order consolidating the Related Delaware Actions under the caption *In re Hansen Medical, Inc. Stockholders Litigation*, C.A. No. 12316-VCMR (the "Consolidated Delaware Action"), and appointing Wolf Popper LLP as lead counsel for the Delaware Plaintiffs in the Consolidated Delaware Action ("Delaware Lead Counsel").
- F. On July 12, 2016, the California Plaintiffs filed a motion for preliminary injunction in the Consolidated California Action seeking to enjoin the Merger. The California Plaintiffs engaged in discovery in support of their motion for preliminary injunction, including the review of confidential Company documents related to the Merger. The California Plaintiffs also took the deposition of Defendant Christopher P. Lowe, who was at that time Hansen's interim Chief Financial Officer and a member of the Company's Board of Directors ("Preliminary Injunction Discovery"). The Delaware Plaintiffs also participated in the Preliminary Injunction Discovery, including reviewing the same documents provided to the California Plaintiffs and questioning Mr. Lowe at his deposition.
- G. On July 18, 2016, the Director Defendants filed briefs in opposition to the California Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction, and on July 20, 2016, following oral argument, the California Court denied that motion.
- H. On July 22, 2016, a majority of the Company's stockholders voted to approve the Merger, which closed on July 27, 2016.
- I. On August 19, 2016, the Delaware Plaintiffs filed a Verified Consolidated Class Action Complaint in the Consolidated Delaware Action.
- J. On November 2, 2016, the California Plaintiffs filed a Consolidated Amended Complaint for Breach of Fiduciary Duty and Violations of State Law in the Consolidated California Action.

- K. On April 6, 2017, California Co-Lead Counsel, Delaware Lead Counsel, and Defendants' counsel, as well as counsel for Auris, participated in a full-day mediation session (the "Initial Mediation") before Robert A. Meyer of JAMS in an effort to resolve both Actions. Before the Initial Mediation, the parties exchanged mediation statements and exhibits, which addressed both liability and damages. The Initial Mediation did not lead to resolution of the Actions.
- L. On June 13 and 14, 2017, the Director Defendants, the Stockholder Defendants, and Auris Surgical Robotics, Inc. each filed motions for judgment on the pleadings in the Consolidated Delaware Action, and on July 7, 2017, Defendants filed their respective opening briefs in support of those motions. In lieu of filing oppositions to those motions, the Delaware Plaintiffs stated their intention to further amend their Verified Consolidated Class Action Complaint.
- M. On August 9, 2017, the California Court entered an order staying the Consolidated California Action pending rulings by the Delaware Court on the then-pending motions for judgment on the pleadings in the Consolidated Delaware Action, or any subsequent motion to dismiss a further revised complaint in that action.
- N. On September 18, 2017, the Delaware Plaintiffs filed their Verified Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint (the "Operative Complaint") in the Consolidated Delaware Action. The Operative Complaint only named Cary G. Vance, Christopher P. Lowe, the Schuler Defendants, the Feinberg Defendants, and Auris Surgical Robotics, Inc. as defendants (collectively, the "Remaining Delaware Defendants").
- O. On September 25, 2017, the Remaining Delaware Defendants filed motions to dismiss the Operative Complaint. On October 24, 2017, the Delaware Plaintiffs filed their brief opposing those motions to dismiss, and on November 3, 2017, the Remaining Delaware Defendants filed their reply briefs in support of their respective motions to dismiss. On March 6, 2018, the Delaware Court heard oral argument on those motions.
- P. On June 18, 2018, the Delaware Court issued a memorandum opinion denying in part and granting in part the Remaining Delaware Defendants' motions to dismiss. Specifically, the Delaware Court denied Cary G. Vance, Christopher P. Lowe, the Schuler Defendants, and the

Feinberg Defendants' motions to dismiss, but granted Auris Surgical Robotics, Inc.'s motion to dismiss.

- Q. On July 10, 2018, upon consent of the parties in the Consolidated California Action, the California Court entered orders dismissing Auris with prejudice from the Consolidated California Action, and dismissing Westwood without prejudice from the Consolidated California Action.
- R. On July 11, 2018, the Schuler Defendants and Feinberg Defendants filed a motion to quash summons and motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction ("Motion to Quash") in the Consolidated California Action, and on July 16, 2018, they filed a motion to stay the Consolidated California Action ("Motion to Stay"). On September 5, the California Plaintiffs filed oppositions to the Motion to Quash and Motion to Stay, and on September 6, 2018, the Director Defendants filed a joinder to the Motion to Stay.
- S. On October 29, 2018, California Co-Lead Counsel, Delaware Lead Counsel, and Defendants' counsel, as well as counsel for Auris, again engaged in a full-day mediation session, this time before Michelle Yoshida of Phillips ADR (the "Second Mediation"), in a further effort to resolve both Actions. Insurers for Defendants and certain of their counsel also participated in the Second Mediation. The Settling Parties again exchanged statements and exhibits addressing both liability and damages. After extensive, arm's-length negotiations at the Second Mediation, the Settling Parties reached an agreement in principle on October 29, 2018 to settle the Actions for \$7,500,000 in cash, subject to approval by the California Court.
- T. On October 31, 2018, Delaware Lead Counsel informed the Delaware Court that the Settling Parties had reached an agreement in principle to settle the Actions, and that the Settlement would be presented to the California Court for that Court's approval, and that Delaware Lead Counsel would be submitting a stipulation of dismissal with prejudice of the Consolidated Delaware Action following such approval by the California Court.
- U. On December 11, 2018, in connection with confirmatory discovery in support of the Settlement, California Co-Lead Counsel took the deposition of Jason Forschler, a representative of

Perella Weinberg Partners LP, the financial advisor retained to advise the Director Defendants in connection with the Merger.

- V. This Stipulation (together with the exhibits hereto) has been duly executed by the undersigned signatories on behalf of their respective clients and reflects the final and binding agreement between the Settling Parties.
- W. Plaintiffs, through California Co-Lead Counsel and Delaware Lead Counsel, have conducted a thorough investigation and pursued discovery relating to the claims and the underlying events and transactions alleged in the Actions. California Co-Lead Counsel and Delaware Lead Counsel have analyzed the evidence adduced during their investigation and through the discovery described above, and they have also researched the applicable law with respect to the claims asserted in the Actions and the potential defenses thereto. Additionally, the multiple mediation statements prepared and exchanged between the Settling Parties, as well as Plaintiffs' and Defendants' respective presentations concerning potential damages should any liability be proven, have provided Plaintiffs with a detailed basis upon which to assess the relative strengths and weaknesses of theirs and Defendants' respective positions in the Actions.
- X. Based upon their investigation and prosecution of the Actions, Plaintiffs, California Co-Lead Counsel and Delaware Lead Counsel have concluded that the terms and conditions of the Settlement and this Stipulation are fair, reasonable, and adequate to, and in the best interests of, Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class. Based on their direct oversight of the prosecution of this litigation, along with the input of California Co-Lead Counsel and Delaware Lead Counsel, and the participation and assistance of experienced mediators, Plaintiffs have decided and agreed to settle the claims raised in the Actions pursuant to the terms and provisions of this Stipulation, after considering: (i) the substantial benefits that Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class will receive from the resolution of the Actions; (ii) the attendant risks of litigation; and (iii) the desirability of permitting the Settlement to be consummated as provided by the terms of this Stipulation. The Settlement and this Stipulation shall in no event be construed as, or deemed to be, evidence of a concession by Plaintiffs of any infirmity in the claims asserted in the Actions.

Y. Defendants deny all allegations of wrongdoing, fault, liability, or damage to Plaintiffs and as well as each and every other member of the Class, and further deny that Plaintiffs have asserted a valid claim as to any of them. Defendants further deny that they engaged in any wrongdoing or committed, or aided or abetted, any violation of law or breach of duty and believe that they acted properly, in good faith, and in a manner consistent with their legal duties, to the extent any such duties existed, and are entering into this Settlement and Stipulation solely to avoid the substantial burden, expense, inconvenience, and distraction of continued litigation and to resolve each of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims (as defined below) as against the Released Defendant Parties (as defined below). The Settlement and this Stipulation shall in no event be construed as, or deemed to be, evidence of or an admission or concession on the part of any of the Defendants with respect to any claim or factual allegation or of any fault or liability or wrongdoing or damage whatsoever or any infirmity in the defenses that any of the Defendants have or could have asserted.

Z. The Settling Parties recognize that the litigation has been filed and prosecuted by Plaintiffs in good faith and defended by Defendants in good faith and further that the Settlement Payment (as defined below) paid, and the other terms of the Settlement as set forth herein, were negotiated at arm's-length, in good faith, and reflect an agreement that was reached voluntarily after consultation with experienced legal counsel.

NOW THEREFORE, it is STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among Plaintiffs (individually and on behalf of the Class), and Defendants that, subject to the approval of the California Court and the other conditions set forth in Article V, for good and valuable consideration set forth herein and conferred on Plaintiffs and the Class, the sufficiency of which is acknowledged, the Actions shall be finally and fully settled, compromised, and dismissed, on the merits and with prejudice, and that the Released Plaintiffs' Claims (as defined below) shall be finally and fully compromised, settled, released, discharged, and dismissed with prejudice against the Released Defendant Parties (as defined below), and that the Released Defendants' Claims (as defined below) shall be finally and fully compromised, settled, released, discharged, and dismissed with prejudice against the Released Plaintiff Parties (as defined below), in the manner set forth herein.

1. In addition to the terms defined elsewhere in this Stipulation, the following capitalized terms, used in this Stipulation and any exhibits attached hereto and made a part hereof, shall have the meanings given to them below:

- (a) "Account" means the escrow account that is maintained by California Co-Lead Counsel and into which the Settlement Payment shall be deposited. The funds deposited into the Account shall be invested in instruments backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government or agency thereof, or if the yield on such instruments is negative, in an account fully insured by the U.S. Government or an agency thereof.
- (b) "Administrative Costs" means all costs, expenses, and fees associated with administering or carrying out the terms of the Settlement, including Excess Notice Costs. Administrative Costs are not part of the Fee and Expense Award.
  - (c) "Cede" means Cede & Co., Inc.
- (d) "Claims" means any and all manner of claims, demands, rights, liabilities, losses, obligations, duties, damages, diminutions in value, costs, debts, expenses, interest, penalties, fines, sanctions, fees, attorneys' fees, expert or consulting fees, actions, potential actions, causes of action, suits, agreements, judgments, decrees, matters, issues and controversies of any kind, nature or description whatsoever, for damages, equitable relief, or any other remedy, whether disclosed or undisclosed, accrued or unaccrued, apparent or not apparent, foreseen or unforeseen, matured or not matured, suspected or unsuspected, liquidated or not liquidated, fixed or contingent, including known claims and unknown claims, whether direct, derivative, individual, class, representative, legal, equitable or of any other type, or in any other capacity, whether based on state, local, foreign, federal, statutory, regulatory, common or any other law, rule, or authority (including, without limitation, all claims within the exclusive jurisdiction of the federal courts, or any claims that could be asserted derivatively on behalf of the Company).
- (e) "Class" means any and all record and beneficial owners and holders of Hansen common stock, as of July 27, 2016 (the date of the consummation of the Merger), including any and all of their respective successors-in-interest, successors, predecessors-in-interest,

predecessors, representatives, trustees, executors, administrators, estates, heirs, assigns and transferees, immediate and remote, and any person or entity acting for or on behalf of, or claiming under, any of them, and each of them, together with their predecessors-in-interest, predecessors, successors-in-interest, successors, and assigns, but excluding: (i) Defendants, their Immediate Family, and any trust or other entity affiliated with or controlled by any Defendant, other than employees of such entities who were not directors or officers of such entities as of the Closing; (ii) any and all record and beneficial owners and holders of Hansen common stock who exercised their appraisal rights under Section 262 of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware; and (iii) any and all record and beneficial owners and holders of Hansen common stock who timely and validly opt out of the Class and Settlement pursuant to Paragraphs 25-26 of this Stipulation.

- (f) "Class Member" means a member of the Class.
- (g) "Closing" means the consummation of the Merger on July 27, 2016.
- (h) "Closing Beneficial Ownership Position" means, for each Eligible Beneficial Owner, the number of shares of Hansen common stock beneficially owned by such Eligible Beneficial Owner as of Closing, for which the Eligible Beneficial Owner received payment of the Merger Consideration; provided, however, that no Excluded Shares may comprise any part of any Closing Beneficial Ownership Position.
- (i) "Closing Security Position" means, for each DTC Participant, the number of shares of Hansen common stock reflected on the DTC allocation report used by DTC to distribute the Merger Consideration.
- (j) "**Defendants' Counsel**" means the law firms of Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP, Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor LLP, Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP and Kaufhold Gaskin LLP.
  - (k) "**DTC**" mean Depository Trust Company.
- (l) "DTC Participants" means the DTC participants to which DTC distributed the Merger Consideration.
- (m) "DTC Records" mean the information to be obtained from DTC necessary to facilitate DTC's distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to Eligible Beneficial Owners.

- (n) "Effective Date" means the first date by which all of the events and conditions specified in Paragraph 12 of this Stipulation have been met and have occurred or have been waived.
- (o) "Eligible Beneficial Owner" means the ultimate beneficial owner of any shares of Hansen common stock at the Closing, provided, however, that no Excluded Stockholder may be an Eligible Beneficial Owner.
- (p) "Eligible Class Members" means Class Members who held shares of Hansen common stock at the Closing and therefore received or were entitled to receive the Merger Consideration for their Eligible Shares. For the avoidance of doubt, Eligible Class Members exclude all Excluded Stockholders.
- (q) "Eligible Registered Owners" means the registered owners of Hansen common stock who or which received or were entitled to receive the Merger Consideration.
- (r) "Eligible Shares" means shares of Hansen common stock held by Eligible Class Members at the Closing and for which Eligible Class Members received or were entitled to receive the Merger Consideration, except for the Excluded Shares.
- (s) "Excess Notice Costs" means all costs, expenses and fees associated with providing notice of the Settlement to the Class that exceed \$12,000. Excess Notice Costs are part of the Administrative Costs, but not part of the Fee and Expense Award.
- (t) "Excluded Shares" means the shares of Hansen common stock owned by the Excluded Stockholders.
- (u) "Excluded Stockholders" means Defendants, their Immediate Family, and any trust or other entity affiliated with or controlled by any Defendant, other than employees of such entities who were not directors or officers of such entities as of the Closing; (ii) any and all record and beneficial owners and holders of Hansen common stock who exercised their appraisal rights under Section 262 of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware; and (iii) any and all record and beneficial owners and holders of Hansen common stock who timely and validly opted out of the Class and Settlement pursuant to Paragraphs 25-26 of this Stipulation

- (v) "Fee and Expense Award" means an award to Plaintiffs' counsel of fees and expenses to be paid from the Settlement Fund, approved by the California Court and in full satisfaction of all claims for attorneys' fees and expenses that have been, could be, or could have been asserted by California Co-Lead Counsel, Delaware Lead Counsel, or any other counsel or any Class Member with respect to the Settlement Fund or against Defendants. For the avoidance of doubt, the Fee and Expense Award does not include Administrative Costs, which are to be paid separately from the Settlement Fund.
- (w) "Final," when referring to a dismissal with prejudice, Judgment or any other court order, means (i) if no appeal is filed, the expiration date of the time provided for filing or noticing any appeal; or (ii) if there is an appeal from the Judgment or order, (a) the date of final dismissal of all such appeals, or the final dismissal of any proceeding on certiorari or otherwise, or (b) the date the judgment or order is finally affirmed on an appeal, the expiration of the time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari or other form of review, or the denial of a writ of certiorari or other form of review is granted, the date of final affirmance following review pursuant to that grant; provided, however, that any disputes or appeals relating solely to the amount, payment or allocation of attorneys' fees and expenses shall have no effect on finality for purposes of determining the date on which the Judgment becomes Final and shall not otherwise prevent, limit or otherwise affect the Judgment, or prevent, limit, delay or hinder entry of the Judgment.
- (x) "**Immediate Family**" means children, stepchildren and spouses (a "spouse" shall mean a husband, a wife, or a partner in a state-recognized domestic partnership or civil union).
- (y) "Judgment" means the Order and Final Judgment to be entered by the California Court in all material respects in the form attached as **Exhibit D** hereto.
- (z) "Initial Notice Costs" means up to, but not exceeding, the first \$12,000 of the costs, expenses and fees associated with providing notice of the Settlement to the Class. Initial Notice Costs are not part of the Administrative Costs or the Fee and Expense Award.
- (aa) "Initial Notice Costs Payment" means \$12,000 to be paid, in accordance with Paragraph 2(a)(i) below, by the insurers for the Defendants into the Account to cover up to,

but not exceeding, the first \$12,000 of the costs, expenses and fees associated with providing notice of the Settlement to the Class.

- (bb) "**Long-Form Notice**" means the Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Stockholder Class Action, Settlement Hearing, and Right to Appear, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit B**, which is to be made available to Class Members via internet distribution and by first-class mail.
- (cc) "Merger Consideration" means the cash consideration of \$4 per share that Hansen stockholders were entitled to receive under the terms of the Merger.
- (dd) "Net Settlement Fund" means the Settlement Fund less (i) any and all Administrative Costs; (ii) any and all Taxes; (iii) any Fee and Expense Award; and (iv) any other fees, costs or expenses approved by the California Court.
- (ee) "Notice Costs" means Initial Notice Costs and Excess Notice Costs combined.
- (ff) "Per-Share Recovery" means the per-share recovery under the Settlement, which will be calculated by dividing the total amount of the Net Settlement Fund by the total number of Eligible Shares held by all Eligible Class Members.
- (gg) "**Publication Notice**" means the Summary Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Stockholder Class Action, Settlement Hearing, and Right to Appear, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit C**, to be published as set forth in the Investor's Business Daily, via PR Newswire or other suitable online newswire.
- (hh) "Released Defendant Parties" means (i) Defendants; (ii) Auris; (iii) the Immediate Family of any Defendant; (iv) the past or present, current or former, direct or indirect, affiliates, associates, members, partners, limited partners, general partners, partnerships, limited partnerships, general partnerships, investment funds, investment advisors, investment managers, investors, shareholders, joint venturers, subsidiaries, parents, divisions, subdivisions, predecessors, successors, officers, directors, employees, agents, principals, owners, representatives, financial advisors, advisors, insurers and attorneys (including Defendants' Counsel and any additional counsel retained by any current or former Defendant in connection with the Actions) of Auris or

the Defendants; and (v) the past or present, current or former, direct or indirect legal representatives, heirs, executors, trustees, beneficiaries, administrators, trustes, trustees, predecessors, successors, predecessors-in-interest, successors-in-interest and assigns of any of the foregoing.

- Unknown Claims, that have been or could have been asserted in the Actions, or in any court, tribunal, forum or proceeding, by the Released Defendant Parties or any of their respective successors and assigns against any of the Released Plaintiff Parties, which arise out of or relate in any way to the institution, prosecution, settlement, or dismissal of either of the Actions; provided, however, that as used herein the term "Released Defendants' Claims" shall not include the right to enforce this Stipulation or any part of it, and shall not include Claims based on the conduct of any of the Settling Parties which occurs after the Effective Date.
- (jj) "Released Plaintiff Parties" means (i) Plaintiffs and all other Class Members; (ii) members of each individual Class Member's Immediate Family; (iii) all Class Members' past or present, current or former, direct or indirect, affiliates, associates, members, partners, limited partners, general partners, partnerships, limited partnerships, general partnerships, investment funds, investment advisors, investment managers, investors, shareholders, joint venturers, subsidiaries, parents, divisions, subdivisions, predecessors, successors, officers, directors, employees, agents, principals, owners, representatives, advisors, insurers and attorneys (including California Co-Lead Counsel and Delaware Lead Counsel) of Plaintiffs and the Class Members and their respective affiliates; and (iv) the past or present, current or former, direct or indirect legal representatives, heirs, executors, trustees, beneficiaries, administrators, trusts, trustees, predecessors, successors, predecessors-in-interest, successors-in-interest and assigns of any of the foregoing.
- (kk) "Released Plaintiffs' Claims" means any and all Claims that were asserted or could have been asserted by Plaintiffs in the Actions on behalf of themselves and/or the Class, and any and all Claims, including Unknown Claims, that are based on, arise out of, relate in any way, or involve the same set of operative facts as the claims asserted by Plaintiffs against Released Defendant Parties in the Actions and which relate to the ownership of Hansen common stock. The

by operation of law the other Class Members, to completely, fully, finally and forever extinguish any and all Released Plaintiffs' Claims and Released Defendants' Claims, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, which now exist, or heretofore existed, or may hereafter exist, and without regard to the subsequent discovery of additional or different facts. The Settling Parties also acknowledge, and the other Class Members by operation of law are deemed to acknowledge, that the inclusion of "Unknown Claims" in the definition of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims and the Released Defendants' Claims is separately bargained for and is a key element of the Settlement.

## II. SETTLEMENT CONSIDERATION

2. In consideration for the full and final release, settlement, and discharge of all Released Plaintiffs' Claims against the Released Defendant Parties, the Settling Parties have agreed to the following consideration:

#### (a) **Initial Notice Costs Payment**:

i. Within five business days of the execution of this Stipulation, Defendants shall cause the insurers for the Defendants to deposit the \$12,000 Initial Notice Costs Payment into the client trust account for Monteverde & Associates PC with JPMorgan Chase Bank NA, account number 152763592 and swift code/routing number 021000021, which shall be used to cover Initial Notice Costs. Under no circumstances shall any Defendant be liable or responsible for funding, contributing to, guaranteeing, or indemnifying any part of the Initial Notice Costs Payment. In the event that any amount of the Initial Notice Costs Payment remains after the payment of all Notice Costs, such unused amount shall be returned to any person or entity who paid any portion of the Initial Notice Costs Payment.

#### (b) **Settlement Payment**:

i. The Settlement Fund shall be used (a) to pay all Administrative Costs; (b) to pay all Taxes; (c) to pay any Fee and Expense award; (d) to pay any other fees, costs or expenses approved by the California Court; and following the payment of (a) - (d) herein, (e) for subsequent disbursement of the Net Settlement Fund to the Eligible Class Members as provided in Paragraph 2(b) herein. Except as provided in Paragraph 2(b)(iii) below, under no circumstances

13 14 15

17

16

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

28

27

shall any Defendant be liable or responsible for funding, contributing to, guaranteeing, or indemnifying any part of the Settlement Payment.

ii. Within fifteen business days following entry of the Judgment by the California Court, and notwithstanding the existence of any timely filed objections to the Settlement, or potential for appeal from the Judgment, Defendants shall cause the insurers for the Defendants to deposit \$7,125,000 of the Settlement Payment into the Account, provided that California Co-Lead Counsel has provided at least fifteen business days before entry of Judgment by the California Court complete wire transfer information and instructions (including a bank account number, swift code/routing number, W-9, telephone and e-mail contact information, and a physical address for the designated recipient of the settlement payment), to Defendants' Counsel and the insurers for the Defendants.

iii. Within fifteen business days following entry of the Judgment by the California Court, and notwithstanding the existence of any timely filed objections to the Settlement, or potential for appeal from the Judgment, the Feinberg Defendants shall deposit, or cause to be deposited, the remaining \$375,000 of the Settlement Payment into the Account, provided that California Co-Lead Counsel has provided at least fifteen business days before entry of Judgment by the California Court complete wire transfer information and instructions (including a bank account number, swift code/routing number, W-9, telephone and e-mail contact information, and a physical address for the designated recipient of the settlement payment), to the Feinberg Defendants' Counsel.

iv. Apart from the payment of the Settlement Payment in accordance with this Paragraph 2(b) and any and all costs associated with providing stockholder information (including, without limitation, the Merger Records and DTC Records) pursuant to Paragraph 2(c) below, Defendants shall have no further or other monetary obligation to Plaintiffs, the other Class Members, California Co-Lead Counsel or Delaware Lead Counsel under the Settlement.

The Settlement Fund—less all Notice Costs and Administrative v. Costs paid, incurred, or due consistent with this Stipulation—shall be returned to the person(s) that

3

4 5

6

7

9

8

11

10

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

paid their respective parts of the Settlement Payment within five business days of the termination of the Settlement in accordance with the terms of this Stipulation.

#### (c) **Distribution of the Settlement Fund:**

Within ten (10) business days of the date of execution of this Stipulation, Auris shall take reasonable steps to provide to or to cause to be provided to the Settlement Administrator and California Co-Lead Counsel, at no cost to the Settlement Fund, Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs' counsel, or the Settlement Administrator, the following information: (a) the stockholder register from Hansen's transfer agent, which listing shall include the names and mailing addresses for all Eligible Registered Owners, the number of Eligible Shares held by such Eligible Registered Owners, and the account information (including financial institution and account numbers where the Eligible Shares were held) for such Eligible Registered Owners; and (b) the names and mailing addresses for each of the Excluded Stockholders set forth on Schedule 1 hereto, the number of Excluded Shares held by such Excluded Stockholders, and the account information (including financial institution and account numbers where the Excluded Shares were held) for such Excluded Stockholders. The information to be provided to the Settlement Administrator and California Co-Lead Counsel pursuant to this Paragraph 2(c)(i) is referred to herein as the "Merger Records."

ii. Following the Effective Date, the Net Settlement Fund will be disbursed to Eligible Class Members, each of which will receive a pro rata distribution from the Net Settlement Fund equal to the product of (a) the number of Eligible Shares held by the Eligible Class Member and (b) the Per-Share Recovery under the Settlement.

iii. With respect to Hansen common stock held of record by Cede, the Settlement Administrator will cause that portion of the Net Settlement Fund to be allocated to Eligible Beneficial Owners who held their shares through DTC Participants to be paid to DTC. DTC shall then distribute that portion of the Net Settlement Fund among the DTC Participants by paying each the Per-Share Recovery times its respective Closing Security Position, using the same mechanism that DTC used to distribute the Merger Consideration and subject to payment suppression instructions with respect to Excluded Shares. The DTC Participants and their

Eligible Beneficial Owner in accordance with each Eligible Beneficial Owner's Closing Beneficial Ownership Position.

iv. With respect to Hansen common stock held of record as of the

respective customers, including any intermediaries, shall then ensure pro rata payment to each

Closing other than by Cede, as nominee for DTC (a "Closing Non-Cede Record Position"), the payment with respect to each such Closing Non-Cede Record Position shall be made by the Settlement Administrator from the Net Settlement Fund directly to the record owner of each Closing Non-Cede Record Position in an amount equal to the Per-Share Recovery times the number of shares of Hansen common stock comprising such Closing Non-Cede Record Position.

v. For the avoidance of doubt, to the extent that any record owner, any DTC Participants, or their respective customers, including any intermediaries, took or permitted actions that had the effect of increasing the number of shares of Hansen common stock entitled to payment of the Merger Consideration, whether through permitting naked short-selling or the cash settlement of short positions or through any other means ("Increased Merger Consideration Entitlements"), such record owner, DTC Participants, or their respective customer (including intermediaries) shall be responsible for paying to the ultimate beneficial owners of such Increased Merger Consideration Entitlements an amount equal to the Per-Share Recovery times the number of the Increased Merger Consideration Entitlements.

vi. For the avoidance of doubt, a person or entity who acquired shares of Hansen common stock on or before July 27, 2016 but had not settled those shares at the Merger's Closing ("Non-Settled Shares") shall be treated as an Eligible Beneficial Owner with respect to those Non-Settled Shares (except for the Excluded Shares), and a person who sold those Non-Settled Shares on or before July 27, 2016 shall not be treated as an Eligible Beneficial Owner with respect to those Non-Settled Shares.

vii. Payment from the Net Settlement Fund made pursuant to and in the manner set forth above shall be deemed conclusive of compliance with this Stipulation.

viii. Defendants and any other Excluded Stockholder shall not have any right to receive any part of the Settlement Fund for his, her, or its own account(s) (*i.e.*, accounts in

which he, she or it holds a proprietary interest), or any additional amount based on any claim relating to the fact that Settlement proceeds are being received by any other stockholder, in each case under any theory, including but not limited to contract, application of statutory or judicial law, or equity.

ix. In the event that any payment from the Net Settlement Fund is undeliverable or in the event a check is not cashed by the stale date (*i.e.*, more than six months from the check's issue date), the DTC Participants or the holder of a Closing Non-Cede Record Position shall follow their respective policies with respect to further attempted distribution or escheatment.

x. California Co-Lead Counsel shall be responsible for supervising the administration of the Settlement and the disbursement of the Net Settlement Fund subject to California Court approval. California Co-Lead Counsel believe that this proposed administration and distribution represents a fair and efficient means of applying the settlement consideration towards the resolution of all the claims and damages alleged in the Actions.

Xi. The Net Settlement Fund shall be distributed to Eligible Class Members only after the Effective Date of the Settlement and after: (a) all Administrative Costs, including Notice Costs, and Taxes, and any Fee and Expense Award, have been paid from the Settlement Fund or reserved; and (b) the California Court has entered an order authorizing the specific distribution of the Net Settlement Fund (the "Class Distribution Order"). California Co-Lead Counsel will apply to the California Court, on notice to Defendants' Counsel, for the Class Distribution Order.

xii. Payment pursuant to the Class Distribution Order shall be final and conclusive against all Class Members. Plaintiffs, Defendants, and Auris, as well as their respective counsel, shall have no liability whatsoever for the investment or distribution of the Settlement Fund or the Net Settlement Fund, the determination, administration, or calculation of any payment from the Net Settlement Fund, the nonperformance of the Settlement Administrator or a nominee holding shares on behalf of an Eligible Class Member, the payment or withholding of Taxes (including interest and penalties) owed by the Settlement Fund, or any losses incurred in connection therewith.

xiii. All proceedings with respect to the administration of the Settlement and distribution pursuant to the Class Distribution Order shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the California Court.

(d) <u>Costs of Distribution</u>: California Co-Lead Counsel shall pay out of the Account all Administrative Costs associated with the allocation and distribution of the Net Settlement Fund (including the costs, if any, associated with escheat).

# (e) <u>Investment and Disbursement of the Settlement Fund</u>:

- i. The Settlement Fund deposited in accordance to Paragraph 2(b) above shall be invested in instruments backed by the full faith and credit of the United States Government or fully insured by the United States Government or an agency thereof, or if the yield on such instruments is negative, in an account fully insured by the United States Government or an agency thereof, and the proceeds of these instruments shall be reinvested as they mature in similar instruments at then-current market rates. The Settlement Fund shall bear all risks related to investment of the Settlement Fund and any proceeds thereof.
- ii. The Settlement Fund shall not be disbursed except as provided in the Stipulation or by an order of the California Court.
- iii. The Settlement Fund shall be deemed and considered to be in *custodial legis* of the California Court, and shall remain subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of that Court, until such time as such funds shall be distributed in accordance to the Stipulation and/or further order(s) of the California Court.

#### III. SCOPE OF THE SETTLEMENT

3. Upon the Effective Date, the Released Plaintiff Parties, Plaintiffs and all Class Members, on behalf of themselves and their legal representatives, heirs, executors, administrators, estates, predecessors, successors, predecessors-in-interest, successors-in-interest, and assigns, and any person or entity acting for or on behalf of, or claiming under, any of them, shall thereupon be deemed to have fully, finally and forever, released, settled and discharged the Released Defendant Parties from and with respect to every one of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims, and shall thereupon be forever barred and enjoined from commencing, instituting, prosecuting, or continuing to

prosecute or pursuing in any fashion any Released Plaintiffs' Claims against any of the Released Defendant Parties.

- 4. Upon the Effective Date, each of Released Defendant Parties, on behalf of themselves and their legal representatives, heirs, executors, administrators, estates, predecessors, successors, predecessors-in-interest, successors-in-interest, and assigns, and any person or entity acting for or on behalf of, or claiming under, any of them, shall thereupon be deemed to have fully, finally and forever, released, settled and discharged the Released Plaintiff Parties from and with respect to every one of the Released Defendants' Claims, and shall thereupon be forever barred and enjoined from commencing, instituting or prosecuting or pursuing in any fashion any of the Released Defendants' Claims against any of the Released Plaintiff Parties.
- 5. The contemplated releases given by the Settling Parties in this Stipulation extend to Released Plaintiffs' Claims and Released Defendants' Claims (collectively, "Released Claims") that the Settling Parties did not know or suspect to exist at the time of the release, which if known, might have affected the decision to enter into this Stipulation.
- 6. Regarding the Released Claims, the Settling Parties shall be deemed to have waived all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of the United States, any law of any state, or principle of common law which governs or limits a person's release of Unknown Claims to the fullest extent permitted by law, and to have relinquished, to the full extent permitted by law, the provisions, rights, and benefits of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

7. For the avoidance of doubt, upon the occurrence of the Effective Date, Defendants shall be dismissed with prejudice from the Actions regarding all Class Members (including Plaintiffs) without the award of any damages, costs, or fees or the grant of further relief except for the payments provided in Paragraphs 2(a)-(b).

the California Court for entry of an Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A (the

"Preliminary Approval Order"), providing for, among other things: (a) the preliminary approval

As soon as practicable after execution of this Stipulation, Plaintiffs shall (i) apply to

2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

8.

of the Settlement; (b) dissemination by mail of the Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Class Action (the "Long-Form Notice"), substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B; (c) the publication of the Summary Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Class Action with Defendants (the "Publication Notice"), substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C;<sup>1</sup> and (d) the scheduling of the Final Approval Hearing to consider: (1) the proposed Settlement, (2) the request that the Judgment be entered in all material respects in the form attached hereto as Exhibit D, (3) California Co-Lead Counsel's and Delaware Lead Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses, and (4) any objections to any of the foregoing; and (ii) take all reasonable and appropriate steps to seek and obtain entry of the Preliminary Approval Order.

- 9. Plaintiffs shall request at the Final Approval Hearing that the California Court approve the Settlement and enter the Judgment.
- 10. The Settling Parties shall take all reasonable and appropriate steps to obtain Final entry of the Judgment in all material respects in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit D**.
- 11. Notwithstanding the fact that the Effective Date of the Settlement has not yet occurred, California Co-Lead Counsel may pay from the Initial Notice Costs Payment, without further approval from Defendants or their insurers or further order of the Court, all Initial Notice Costs actually incurred and paid or payable. Notice shall be provided in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order. Plaintiffs shall retain a Settlement Administrator to disseminate Notice and for the disbursement of the Net Settlement Fund to Eligible Class Members.

## V. CONDITIONS OF SETTLEMENT

26

27

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Collectively, the Long-Form Notice and Publication Notice shall be referred to as the "**Notice**."

- 12. The Effective Date of the Settlement shall be deemed to occur on the occurrence or waiver of all of the following events, which the Settling Parties shall use their best efforts to achieve:
- (a) the California Court's entry in the Consolidated California Action of the Preliminary Approval Order in all material respects in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit A**;
- (b) the California Court's entry in the Consolidated California Action of the Judgment in all material respect in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit D**;
  - (c) the Judgment becoming Final;
- (d) The Consolidated Delaware Action being dismissed in its entirety with prejudice, and that dismissal being Final; and
- (e) the full amount of the \$7,500,000 Settlement Payment having been paid into the Account in accordance with Paragraph 2(b) above.
- 13. Upon the occurrence of the Effective Date, any and all remaining interest or right of Defendants in or to the Settlement Fund, if any, shall be absolutely and forever extinguished and the Releases herein shall be effective. Further, in the event that any amount of the Initial Notice Costs Payment remains after the payment of all Notice Costs, such unused amount shall be returned to the insurers or any other person who paid any portion of the Initial Notice Costs Payment.

## VI. ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES; INCENTIVE AWARDS

- 14. California Co-Lead Counsel and Delaware Lead Counsel will apply to the California Court for an award of attorneys' fees in an amount not to exceed 1/3 of the Settlement Fund and up to \$250,000.00 for the reimbursement of litigation expenses, to be paid solely from the Settlement Fund (the "Fee Application"). Neither California Co-Lead Counsel's nor Delaware Lead Counsel's Fee Application are or will be the subject of any agreement between Defendants and Plaintiffs or any of their respective counsel, other than what is set forth in this Stipulation.
- 15. An amount equal to the Fee and Expense Award shall be payable to California Co-Lead Counsel from the Settlement Fund immediately upon the occurrence of the Effective Date.
- 16. The disposition of the Fee Application is not a material term of this Stipulation, and it is not a condition of this Stipulation that such application be granted. The Fee Application may

be considered separately from the proposed Stipulation. Any disapproval or modification of the Fee Application by the California Court or on appeal shall not affect or delay the enforceability of this Stipulation, provide any of the Settling Parties with the right to terminate the Settlement, or affect or delay the binding effect or finality of the Judgment and the release of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims. Final resolution of the Fee Application shall not be a condition to the dismissal, with prejudice, of the Actions as to Defendants or effectiveness of the releases of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims.

- 17. California Co-Lead Counsel and Delaware Lead Counsel shall allocate the attorneys' fees awarded amongst Plaintiffs' counsel in a manner which they, in good faith and in their sole discretion, determine and believe is fair and equitable. California Co-Lead Counsel and Delaware Lead Counsel, in consultation with their clients, shall be solely responsible for determining the allocation of any fees and expenses paid to Plaintiffs' counsel in the Actions. Defendants and their counsel shall have no responsibility, authority, or liability with respect to the allocation of any fee and expense award among Plaintiffs' counsel in the Actions.
- 18. Based on the substantial benefits that Plaintiffs have achieved for the Class through their prosecution of the Actions, Plaintiffs' Counsel intends to seek the California Court's approval for awards for each of the Plaintiffs, in an amount not to exceed \$1,000 for each Plaintiff (the "Incentive Awards"). Defendants have agreed not to oppose a request for such Incentive Awards that does not exceed \$6,000 in total. The Incentive Awards shall be paid out of the Fee and Expense Award, if any, awarded to Plaintiffs' Counsel by the California Court.

## VII. STAY PENDING COURT APPROVAL

19. The Settling Parties agree not to initiate any proceedings related to the Actions or prosecution of the Actions against Defendants other than those incident to the Settlement itself pending the occurrence of the Effective Date. The Settling Parties also agree to use their reasonable best efforts to seek the stay and dismissal of, and to oppose entry of any interim or final relief in favor of any Class Member in any other proceedings which challenge the Settlement or the Merger or otherwise assert or involve the commencement or prosecution of any Released Plaintiffs' Claim,

either directly, representatively, derivatively, or in any other capacity, against any Released Defendant Party.

20. The Settling Parties will request the California Court to order (in the Preliminary Approval Order) that, pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved, Plaintiffs and all Class Members are barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, instigating, or in any way participating in the commencement or prosecution of any Released Plaintiffs' Claim, either directly, representatively, derivatively, or in any other capacity, against any Released Defendant Party.

#### VIII. TAXES

- 21. The Settling Parties agree that the Settlement Fund together with all interest earned on the Settlement Fund is intended to be a "qualified settlement fund" within the meaning of Treas. Reg. § 1.468B-l. The Settlement Administrator shall timely make such elections as necessary or advisable to carry out the provisions of this Article VIII, including, if necessary, the "relation-back election" (as defined in Treas. Reg. § 1.468B-1(j)(2)) back to the earliest permitted date. Such elections shall be made in compliance with the procedures and requirements contained in such Treasury regulations promulgated under § 1.468B of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. It shall be the responsibility of the Settlement Administrator to timely and properly prepare and deliver the necessary documentation for signature by all necessary parties, and thereafter to cause the appropriate filing to occur, and send copies of such filings to all counsel for the parties in the Actions.
- 22. The Settlement Administrator shall timely and properly file all informational and other tax returns necessary or advisable with respect to the Settlement Fund (including, without limitation, the returns described in Treas. Reg. § 1.468B-2(k)). Such returns (as well as the election described in Paragraph 21 above) shall be consistent with this Article VIII and in all events shall reflect that all taxes (including any estimated taxes, interest or penalties) on the income earned by the Settlement Fund shall be paid out of the Settlement Fund as provided in Paragraph 23 below.
- 23. All taxes shall be paid timely out of the Settlement Fund, as directed and administered by California Co-Lead Counsel and Delaware Lead Counsel, without further order of

2

16 17 18

19 20

22 23

21

24

25 26

28

27

the California Court. Any tax returns prepared for the Settlement Fund (as well as the election set forth herein) shall be consistent with this Article VIII and in all events shall reflect that all taxes on the income earned by the Settlement Fund shall be paid out of the Settlement Fund, as provided herein, and shall be timely filed by the Settlement Administrator, who shall send copies of such filings to counsel for all parties in the Actions. Any costs for the preparation of applicable tax returns shall be paid from the Settlement Fund. Defendants and Released Defendant Parties shall not bear any tax liability in connection with the Settlement Fund, including any liability for income taxes owed by any Class Member by virtue of their receipt of payment from the Settlement Fund.

24. Defendants and their counsel agree to cooperate with California Co-Lead Counsel and Delaware Lead Counsel, as responsible for overseeing the administration of the Settlement Fund, and their tax attorneys, accountants and/or the Settlement Administrator, to the extent reasonably necessary to carry out and accomplish the provisions of this Section and of this Stipulation.

#### IX. **OPT-OUT RIGHTS**

- 25. Prospective members of the Class shall have the right to opt out of, and request exclusion from, the Class and Settlement. Any prospective member of the Class who does not timely and validly request exclusion from the Class and Settlement shall be a Class Member and shall be bound by the terms of this Stipulation, the Settlement and Judgment. Any prospective member of the Class who timely and validly requests exclusion from the Class and Settlement shall be excluded from the Class and the Settlement.
- 26. The Notice shall describe the procedure whereby prospective members of the Class may exclude themselves from the Class and Settlement, which shall, at a minimum, provide that any such requests must be made in writing, no later than twenty-one (21) days prior to the Final Approval Hearing, and mailed by First-Class Mail postmarked to the address designated in the Notice.

4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |

27. Subject to Paragraph 29 below, if either (i) the California Court finally refuses to enter the Judgment in any material respect or alters the Judgment in any material respect prior to entry, or (ii) the California Court enters the Judgment but on or following appellate review, the Judgment is modified or reversed in any material respect, the Settlement and this Stipulation shall be canceled and terminated unless each of the Settling Parties to this Stipulation, within ten business days from receipt of such ruling, agrees in writing with the other Settling Parties hereto to proceed with this Stipulation and Settlement, including only with such modifications, if any, as to which all other Settling Parties in their sole judgment and discretion may agree. In addition to the foregoing, Plaintiffs shall have the right to cancel and terminate the Settlement and this Stipulation in the event that the Settlement Payment is not timely paid in accordance with Paragraph 2(b) above. For purposes of this paragraph, an intent to proceed shall not be valid unless it is expressed in a signed writing. Neither a modification nor a reversal on appeal of the amount of fees, costs and expenses awarded by the California Court to California Co-Lead Counsel and/or Delaware Lead Counsel shall be deemed a material modification of the Judgment or this Stipulation.

28. In addition to the foregoing, and subject to Paragraph 29 below, Defendants shall also have the option (which must be exercised unanimously by all Defendants with capacity to do so), but not the obligation, to terminate the Settlement and render this Stipulation null and void in the event that the aggregate number of shares of Hansen common stock held by persons or entities who would otherwise be Eligible Class Members, but who timely and validly opt out of the Class and Settlement pursuant to Paragraphs 25-26 above, exceeds the level (the "Opt-Out Threshold") as set forth in a separate agreement (the "Supplemental Side Agreement") executed between California Co-Lead Counsel, Delaware Lead Counsel and Defendants' Counsel on behalf of their respective clients. The Opt-Out Threshold may be disclosed to the Court for purposes of approval of the Settlement set forth in this Stipulation, as may be required by the Court, but such disclosure shall be carried out to the fullest extent possible in accordance with the practices of the Court so as to maintain the confidentiality of the Supplemental Side Agreement.

29. If this Stipulation is disapproved, canceled, or terminated pursuant to its terms or the Effective Date of the Settlement otherwise fails to occur, (i) Plaintiffs and Defendants shall be deemed to have reverted to their respective litigation status immediately before the execution of the **Stipulation**, they shall negotiate a new case schedule for both Actions in good faith, and they shall proceed as if the Stipulation had not been executed and the related orders had not been entered; (ii) all of their respective claims and defenses as to any issue in the Actions shall be preserved without prejudice in any way; and (iii) the statements made in connection with the negotiations of this Stipulation shall not be deemed to prejudice in any way the positions of any of the Settling Parties with respect to the Actions, or to constitute an admission of fact of wrongdoing by any Settling Party, shall not be used or entitle any Settling Party to recover any fees, costs, or expenses incurred in connection with the Actions, and neither the existence of this Stipulation nor its contents nor any statements made in connection with its negotiation or any settlement communications shall be admissible in evidence or shall be referred to for any purpose in the Actions, or in any other litigation or judicial proceeding.

# XI. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- 30. All of the exhibits attached hereto are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if a conflict or inconsistency exists between the terms of this Stipulation and the terms of any exhibit attached hereto, the terms of the Stipulation shall prevail.
- 31. Defendants warrant that, as to the payments made or to be made on behalf of them, at the time of entering into this Stipulation and at the time of such payment they, or to the best of their knowledge any persons or entities contributing to the payment of the Settlement Payment, were not insolvent, nor will the payment required to be made by or on behalf of them render them insolvent, within the meaning of and/or for the purposes of the United States Bankruptcy Code, including §§ 101 and 547 thereof.
- 32. The Settling Parties intend this Stipulation and the Settlement to be a final and complete resolution of all disputes asserted or which could be asserted by Plaintiffs and any other Class Members against the Released Defendant Parties with respect to the Released Plaintiffs'

Claims. Accordingly, Plaintiffs and their counsel and Defendants and their counsel agree not to assert in any forum that the Actions were brought by Plaintiffs or defended by Defendants (and/or Auris), as well as their respective counsel, in bad faith or without a reasonable basis. The Settling Parties agree that the amounts paid and the other terms of the Settlement were negotiated at arm's-length and in good faith by the Settling Parties, including through a mediation process supervised and conducted by Michelle Yoshida of Phillips ADR, and reflect the Settlement that was reached voluntarily after extensive negotiations and consultation with experienced legal counsel, who were fully competent to assess the strengths and weaknesses of their respective clients' claims or defenses.

- 33. The Settling Parties and their counsel shall not make any accusations of wrongful or actionable conduct by any Settling Party concerning the prosecution, defense, and resolution of the Actions, and shall not otherwise suggest that the Settlement constitutes an admission of any claim or defense alleged in the Actions.
- 34. The terms of the Settlement, as reflected in this Stipulation, may not be modified or amended, nor may any of its provisions be waived except by a writing signed on behalf of all Settling Parties (or their successors-in-interest).
- 35. The headings herein are used for the purpose of convenience only and are not intended by the Settling Parties to, and shall not, have legal effect.
- 36. The administration and consummation of the Settlement as embodied in this Stipulation shall be under the authority of the California Court, and that Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction for the purpose of entering orders providing for awards of attorneys' fees and expenses to California Co-Lead Counsel and Delaware Lead Counsel, and enforcing the terms of this Stipulation, including the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to Class Members.
- 37. The waiver by one Party of any breach of this Stipulation by any other Party shall not be deemed a waiver of any other prior or subsequent breach of this Stipulation.
- 38. This Stipulation and its exhibits constitute the entire agreement among the Settling Parties concerning the Settlement and this Stipulation and its exhibits. All Parties acknowledge that no other agreements, representations, warranties, or inducements have been made by any Party

hereto concerning this Stipulation or its exhibits other than those contained and memorialized in such documents.

- 39. This Stipulation may be executed in one or more counterparts, including by signature transmitted via facsimile, or by a .pdf/.tiff image of the signature transmitted via email. All executed counterparts and each of them shall be deemed to be one and the same instrument.
- 40. This Stipulation shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the Settling Parties, as well as the Released Plaintiff Parties and Released Defendant Parties, and any corporation, partnership, or other entity into or with which any such party hereto may merge, consolidate or reorganize.
- 41. The construction, interpretation, operation, effect and validity of this Stipulation and all documents necessary to effectuate it shall be governed by the laws of the State of California without regard to conflicts of laws.
- 42. Any action arising under or to enforce this Stipulation or any portion thereof shall be commenced and maintained only in the California Court.
- 43. This Stipulation shall not be construed more strictly against one Settling Party than another merely by virtue of the fact that it, or any part of it, may have been prepared by counsel for one of the Settling Parties, it being recognized that it is the result of arm's-length negotiations between the Settling Parties and that all Settling Parties have contributed substantially and materially to the preparation of this Stipulation.
- 44. All counsel and all other persons executing this Stipulation and any of the exhibits hereto, or any related Settlement documents, warrant and represent that they have the full authority to do so and that they have the authority to take appropriate action required or permitted to be taken pursuant to the Stipulation to effectuate its terms.
- 45. California Co-Lead Counsel, Delaware Lead Counsel and Defendants' Counsel agree to cooperate fully with one another in seeking from the California Court the Preliminary Approval Order, as embodied in this Stipulation, and to use best efforts to promptly agree upon and execute all such other documentation as may be reasonably required to obtain final approval by the California Court of the Settlement.

1	46. If any Settling Party is required to give notice to another Settling Party under this		
2	Stipulation, such notice shall be in writing and shall be deemed to have been duly given upon receipt		
3	of hand delivery or facsimile or email transmission, with confirmation of receipt. Notice shall be		
4	provided as follows:		
5			
	If to Plaintiffs, California Co-Lead Counsel and/or Delaware Lead  MONTEVERDE & ASSOCIATES PC Attn: Juan E. Monteverde, Esq.		
6	Counsel: The Empire State Building 350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4405		
7	New York, NY 10118		
8	Tel.: (212) 971-1341 Fax: (212) 601-2610		
9	Email: <u>jmonteverde@monteverdelaw.com</u>		
10	WOLF POPPER LLP Attn: Carl Stine, Esq.		
11	845 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022		
12	Tel.: (212) 759-4600 Fax: (212) 486-2093		
13	Email: cstine@wolfpopper.com		
14	If to Defendants: ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP		
15	Attn: Alexander K. Talarides, Esq.		
16	The Orrick Building 405 Howard Street		
17	San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (415) 773-5700		
18	Fax: (415) 773-5759		
19	Email: <u>atalarides@orrick.com</u>		
20	WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP Attn: Benjamin P. McCallen, Esq.		
21	787 Seventh Avenue		
22	New York, NY 10019 Tel.: (212) 728-8182		
	Fax: (212) 728-9182 Email: bmccallen@willkie.com		
23	Eman. omecanene winkle.com		
24	If to Auris:  ROPES & GRAY LLP  Attn: Martin L Crien For		
25	Attn: Martin J. Crisp, Esq. 1211 Avenue of the Americas		
26	New York, NY 10036-8704 Tel.: (212) 596-9000		
27	Fax: (212) 596-9090 Email: <u>martin.crisp@ropesgray.com</u>		
28			
	22		

- 47. Except as otherwise provided herein, each Settling Party shall bear its own costs.
- 48. Whether or not the Stipulation is approved by the California Court and whether or not the Stipulation is consummated, or the Effective Date occurs, the Settling Parties and their counsel shall use their best efforts to keep all negotiations, discussions, acts performed, agreements, drafts, documents signed and proceedings in connection with the Stipulation confidential.
- 49. All agreements made and orders entered during the course of the Actions relating to the confidentiality of information shall survive this Settlement and be continuing, as limited only by the requirements of applicable California and Delaware law.
- 50. No opinion or advice concerning the tax consequences of the proposed Settlement to individual Class Members is being given or will be given by the Settling Parties or their counsel; nor is any representation or warranty in this regard made by virtue of this Stipulation. Each Class Member's tax obligations, and the determination thereof, are the sole responsibility of the Class Member, and it is understood that the tax consequences may vary depending on the particular circumstances of each individual Class Member.

1	DATED: February 5, 2019	MONTEVERDE & ASSOCIATES PC
2		
3		David E. Bower (SBN 119546)
4		600 Corporate Pointe, Suite 1170
5		Culver City, CA 90230 Tel: (213) 446-6652
6		Fax: (212) 202-7880
7		MONTEVERDE & ASSOCIATES PC Juan E. Monteverde
8		The Empire State Building 350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4405
9		New York, NY 10118 Tel: (212) 971-1341
10		Fax: (212) 601-2610
11		FARUQI & FARUQI, LLP Nadeem Faruqi
12		685 Third Avenue, 26th Fl.
13		New York, NY10017 Tel.: (212) 983-9330
14		Fax: (212) 983-9331
15		Attorneys for Plaintiff David Simmons and Co-Leac Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class
16		BRODSKY & SMITH LLC
17		Evan J. Smith (SBN 242352) 9595 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900
18		Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Tel.: (877) 534-2590
		Fax: (310) 247-0160
19		Counsel for Plaintiffs Joseph Liu and Howard Huggins and Co-Lead
20		Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class
21		MILBERG LLP David E. Azar (SBN 218319)
22		10866 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 600 Los Angeles, CA 90024
23		Tel.: (213) 915-8870 Fax: (213) 617-1975
24		` ,
25		Counsel for Plaintiff Melvin Lax and Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class
26		
27		
28		
	I .	

WOLF POPPER LLP

Carl L. Stine Matthew Insley-Pruitt Adam J. Blander 845 Third Avenue

New York, NY 10022 (212) 759-4600

Lead Counsel for the Delaware Plaintiffs

1	DATED: February <u>5</u> , 2019	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
2		an Teles
3		James N. Kramer (SBN 154709)
4		Alexander K. Talarides (SBN 268068)
5		The Orrick Building 405 Howard Street
6		San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (415) 773-5700
7		Fax: (415) 773-5759
8		Attorneys for Defendants Cary Vance, Christopher
9		Lowe, Michael Eagle, Marjorie L. Bowen, Kevin Hykes, William R. Rohn, Stephen L. Newman, and
10		Nadim Yared
11		
12	DATED: February <u>5</u> , 2019	ROPES & GRAY LLP
13		Matin.
14		Rocky C. Tsai (SBN 221452)
15		Three Embarcadero Center
		San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: (415) 315-6300
16		Fax: (415) 315-6350
17		Martin J. Crisp
18		1211 Avenue of the Americas
19		New York, NY 10036-8704
20		Tel.: (212) 596-9000 Fax: (212) 596-9090
21		Attorneys for former Defendants Auris Surgical
22		Robotics, Inc. (now known as Auris Health, Inc.), Pineco Acquisition Corp., and Hansen Medical, Inc.
23		T the Co Acquisition Corp., and Hansen Medical, Inc.
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20		

DATED: February , 2019 1 WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP P.M.S 2 3 Benjamin P. McCallen 787 Seventh Avenue 4 New York, NY 10019 5 Tel.: (212) 728-8182 Fax: (212) 728-9182 6 KAUFHOLD GASKIN LLP 7 Steven S. Kaufhold (SBN 157195) 388 Market Street, Suite 1300 8 San Francisco, CA 94111 9 Tel.: (415) 445-4620 Fax: (415) 874-1071 10 Attorneys for Defendants Jack Schuler, the Jack W. 11 Schuler Living Trust, Renate Schuler, the Schuler Family Foundation, the Tino Hans Schuler Trust, the 12 Tanya Eva Schuler Trust, the Therese Heidi Schuler Trust, Oracle Partners, L.P., Oracle Ten Fund Master, 13 LP, Oracle Institutional Partners, L.P., The Feinberg Family Foundation, Oracle Investment Management, 14 Inc. Employees' Retirement Plan, the Feinberg Family Trust, Larry N. Feinberg, and Westwood SPV, LLC 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28